

REMARKS

This is submitted as a full and complete response to the outstanding Election of Species Requirement. The Examiner has noted that there are two species in this case that are not linked by a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are Species I, the animal harness graphically seen in Figures 1-3, and Species II, the animal harness graphically seen in Figures 4-6. The Examiner states that Claims 1-8, 11-14 and 17-18 appear to be generic. It is here stated that Claim 15 also is generic to both species.

The Applicant herewith elects to continue prosecution on Species II, the animal harness graphically seen in Figures 4-6. The claims that read on Figures 4-6 are Claims 10 and 16. This election is made without traverse.

The claims that are drawn to the elected species along with the generic claims 1-8, 11-15, and 17-18 should be examined. Consequently, all claims except Claim 9 which is drawn to Species I, should be examined. It is understood that if a generic or sub-generic claim is found allowable such as Claim 8 from which Claim 9 depends, the claim to the non-elected species should also be found allowable in this application.

Early and favorable action on the merits of the elected claims is courteously awaited.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By: _____

John C. Holman
Reg. No. 22769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666
Atty. Docket: P71244US0
Date: April 27, 2009